

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,858 10/01/2004		Kurt Scheffe	HM-605PCT	4317		
40570	7590 08	3/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	I KUEFFNER	HANNON, THOMAS R				
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				3682		

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			358	SCHEFFE ET AL						
			er	Art Unit						
		Thomas	R. Hannon	3682						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply with eply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. Itory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION  Event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 31 July 2006								
· · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.									
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
· · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner								
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 200</u>		cepted or b) Cobjects	ed to by the Examin	ner					
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		•	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		-		FR 1 121(d)					
	The oath or declaration is objected to t			=	• •					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	r foreign priority ui	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ol>									
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cer	tified copies not receiv	ved.						
Attachment	(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · ·	4) Interview Summa							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P			lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/509,858

Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Talbot US 1,986,027. Talbot discloses a device for controlling contact rolls, having a neck (3a) supported in roller bearings (8) in a bearing support housing (6) of a roll stand, the neck having a neck extension (column 2, line 40) which is supported in an axial support bearing (15, 16, 17, and column 2, lines 48-50) the support bearing having a bearing housing (27) which is connected externally (by bolts 28) to the bearing support housing, and intermediate housing (14) which is inserted into the bearing housing and cylindrically encloses the axial support bearing, and a piston-cylinder unit (42, 43) operatively arranged for adjustably displacing the intermediate housing in the bearing housing in a radial direction relative to the axis of the neck extension.

With respect to claim 2, the piston-cylinder unit shown in Figure 1 consists of an internal cylindrical recess (inner surface of cylinder 43), which extends radially relative to the axis of the bearing housing enclosing the intermediate housing, and a floating piston (42) which can be displaced in the cylindrical recess and actuated by a hydraulic medium.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Talbot.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the roll mounting such that the teaching of hydraulically actuated secondary bearings are utilized with hydraulic oil film bearings, because the use of oil film bearings in roll mills is notoriously old and well known, the teachings of Talbot being equally applicable to such oil film bearings, such as to minimize the bearing play in the oil film bearing.

Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the "different objectives") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant has failed to particularly point out any differences between the structure set forth in the claims and the structure presented by Talbot.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3682

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh